UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/486,069	06/07/1995	DEAN ENGELHARDT	ENZ-5(D8)(C2)	6278	
<sup>28171</sup> ENZO BIOCHI	7590 10/31/201 EM, INC.	1	EXAMINER		
527 MADISON AVENUE (9TH FLOOR)			BRUSCA, JOHN S		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/31/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	08/486,069	ENGELHARDT ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOHN S. BRUSCA	1631				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>JOHN S. BRUSCA</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Alexander H. Spiegler</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 27 October 2011.						
Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: Applicant	applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.					
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)						
The applicants were informed that the preliminary amendment received 30 September 1992 contained one instruction to insert a phrase at page 105, line 15 of the specification after the phrase "Sig containing.". The pointed to location does not exist in the specification and that portion of the amendment was not entered by the Office. The applicants stated that they reviewed the specification and the amendment of 30 September 1992 and agreed that the amendment contained an inadvertent instruction to amend the specification at a nonexistent location. The applicants stated that they did not want the amendment to page 105, line 15 to be entered into the specification. The examiner stated that the applicants intentions would be communicated to the publications branch of the USPTO.						
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.  Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.						
☐ Attachment						
/JOHN S BRUSCA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631						

Application No.

Applicant(s)